


New Frontier

SERVICE CHIEFS GAGGED OVER
NAVY SELL-OUT (Page 3)



APRIL 1982

12p

VOICE OF THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY

Mugging: the black statistics



NOW IT HAS ALL COME OUT!

The facts that politicians and press have been trying to hide for years were revealed last month in statistics released by Scotland Yard showing the preponderance of Blacks among the reported culprits in the odious crime of mugging. According to the figures released, 18,763 cases of robbery and violent theft were reported to London police in 1981 — a 34 per-cent increase on the figures for the previous year. No less than 10,399 of these involved Coloured attackers; 4,967 involved Whites; 704 involved mixed gangs; and in 2,693

cases the assailants were unidentified.

In other words, more than twice as many muggings were committed by Blacks as by Whites — despite the fact that Blacks represent probably no more than 15 per-cent of the population of the London area.

When the figures are broken down into specific districts they are even more revealing. In Lambeth 79.7 per-cent of reported muggings were committed by Blacks. Figures for some other areas were: Hackney 75.7 per-cent; Lewisham and Bromley 65.4 per-cent; Southwark 57.6

per-cent; Haringey and Enfield 65.8 per-cent; Wandsworth 62.7 per-cent.

These are percentages of ALL muggings reported. They do not take into account those cases where the attackers were not seen by the victims. If, as is probable, about two-thirds of these cases involved Blacks, the percentages become even larger.

In all the districts cited, Blacks form a bigger proportion of the population than over London as a whole, but in none of them are Blacks yet a majority.

Allowing for the fact that most muggers, Black or White,

are young people and that Blacks form a larger portion of the young population than they do of the population of all ages, it is probably true to say from these figures that a young Black is on average 20 times more likely to become a mugger than a young White.

A sobering thought for our champions of the multi-racial society to ponder upon.

Editor Ronald Rickcord
comments on the
mugging epidemic on
page 2.

YES, LAW AND ORDER ARE COLLAPSING!



WOBBLY WILLIE

This jellyfish of a Home Secretary has a lamentable record of softness and appeasement towards violent criminals, previously as Secretary of State for Northern Ireland and more recently in his present job.

Willie Whitelaw's bluster does not alter the brutal truth

HOME SECRETARY Willie Whitelaw, in a desperate attempt to fend off critics of his weak-as-water record on law and order, made a blistering speech on March 22nd to a group of backbench Tory MPs in which he promised them a tough and uncompromising approach towards crime in Britain.

In the speech he warned that police would "go in hard" if there was a repetition of last year's riots in our cities and that new legislation was on the way to give police greater powers to stop and search suspected offenders. He attacked judges and magistrates who in the past had let lawbreakers off too lightly and urged that in future they should be more severe, and he promised new laws to prevent people who had been given suspended jail sentences serving on juries.

According to press reports, Willie routed his critics in the party and won a warm ovation.

If this was the case, it just shows how stupid Tory MPs are.

Willie Whitelaw simply had to strike a few tough postures; his position as Home Secretary was at stake. As one backbencher said, it is vital for the Government to stop the rise in the crime rate before the next election (note the most impor-

tant priority!). If Willie did not come up with something, there would be irresistible pressure in the Tory Party for the Prime Minister to sack him.

But what does it all amount to? Willie's record as a softie is too deeply ingrained for him to change now. For instance, when he talks of giving the police greater powers to stop and search he conveniently overlooks, and expects everyone to forget, the fact that it was mainly at his instigation that the 'sus' law which gave the police these very powers was abolished a short time ago.

It is all very well to condemn judges and magistrates who hand out soft sentences, but that is not going to change the situation. The fact is that today's judges and magistrates are the products of a section of society and a system of education that are riddled with a climate of liberalism and softness. To change them, we have to change that whole

climate and that entire part of society and its scale of cultural and spiritual values. This involves nothing short of a revolution in British life. Are a few words from Willie Whitelaw going to bring about that revolution? Quite obviously not.

As for prohibiting jury members who are serving suspended sentences, that is a complete red herring — the number of jurors being thus affected being minimal. Much more to the point is the way in which juries today trying cases involving Black offenders are packed with people of the same race, indisposed to give an impartial verdict against their own kith and kin. This is because of the absurd system which allows those on trial to reject one juror after another to the point at which, on the law of averages in areas with substantial coloured populations, less than half the jury consists of indigenous Britons. The recent

Terry May murder trial involving nine Blacks is a case in point.

Mr. Whitelaw made no mention in his speech of any changes in this ludicrous jury system, or indeed of the fact that young Blacks are

playing a key role in bringing about the frightening crime increase. What does Willie propose to do about these Blacks? What does he propose to do in recognition of the disas-

Contd. on page 3

THE ROMANS

Why didn't Mrs. W finish the job off?

Mrs. Mary Whitehouse carried with her the support of millions of British people when she brought her private prosecution against Michael Bogdanov, producer of the obscene play, *The Romans In Britain*, which featured simulated homosexual acts on the stage. Then, strangely, Mrs. Whitehouse, after obtaining the verdict of the judge that there was a *prima facie* case to answer, withdrew the prosecution, saying: "Mr. Bogdanov is an honourable man — I didn't want to injure him."

This paper thinks that Mrs. Whitehouse is wrong. Mr. Bogdanov is a filthy degenerate and should be injured — as badly as possible.



Mrs. WHITEHOUSE
Wouldn't give the
killer blow



BOGDANOV
A filthy
degenerate

Had we been bringing the prosecution, we wouldn't have been so soft. We would have finished Bogdanov off, well and truly.

New Frontier

No. 15 APRIL 1982

Editor: Ronald Rickcord

Contributing Editor: Charles Parker

New Frontier, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.
Tel: 0273 777540

TRIDENT: THE WRONG CHOICE

THE FIRST DUTY of British governments is to protect Britain from external attack. We therefore support expenditure on national defence; but we do not favour vast sums of public money being squandered on a foreign-built weapon system of extremely dubious efficacy. Yet that is precisely what the present Government will be doing when it buys the American submarine-launched Trident missile system.

There are numerous reasons why we think that the decision to buy Trident is wrong; but we will confine ourselves to discussing just four reasons why in our opinion reliance on Trident could seriously undermine our ability to defend our country in any future conflict.

Firstly, Trident is as yet an unproven system. The first Trident I submarine, *USS Ohio*, is still undergoing trials with the US Navy and is not deployed operationally. There is no guarantee that Trident's performance will match the claims made by those anxious to sell it.

Secondly, the escalating cost of Trident is bound to reduce funds available for the purchase of other armaments. This could seriously jeopardise our ability to defend ourselves in a limited nuclear conflict or a conventional war. Already Trident's cost has risen from the £5,000 million estimated in last year's Defence White Paper to somewhere in the region of £7,500 million, and as the US Government's decision to scrap Trident I in favour of the more advanced Trident II has obliged Britain to follow suit, the costs are bound to rise still further.

Thirdly, entrusting our strategic defence entirely to an American-built weapon will deprive British governments of their freedom to act independently because US governments will be able to enforce British compliance with their wishes by threatening to withhold the supply of essential spares.

And fourthly, it is almost certain that the Trident deal will be repudiated if the Tories lose the next general election. If that were to happen, Britain could well end up without any credible deterrent.

Quite clearly, then, Trident is the wrong choice for Britain because it will impoverish us without guaranteeing our national survival. We believe that a better strategy for Britain would be the development of several smaller, cheaper weapon systems, using our own resources and native skills. Such a policy would cost only a fraction of the cost incurred by buying Trident. It would also create jobs in this country and stimulate British industry, and would be much less easily dismantled by any unilateralist government that might gain power. Furthermore, the diverse retaliatory capability resulting from the deployment of several weapon systems would undoubtedly deter any aggressor contemplating an attack on our country owing to the uncertain nature of our reprisals or their mode of arrival.

In the final analysis, defence systems, however costly, are futile unless they deter the enemy. There is no evidence that Trident can perform that task.

MUGGING: THE TRUTH COMES OUT

SCOTLAND YARD's recent disclosure that Blacks were responsible for 10,399 muggings and violent robberies out of a total of 18,763 that occurred in London last year will surprise no one except a few MPs and those ostrich-like liberals who live with their heads in the sand. The fact that in some London boroughs Blacks are alleged to have committed more than 75 per-cent of these crimes confirms what we Nationalists have always known: that many black people have an innate propensity for violent crime.


The police are also aware of the criminality of Blacks. Assistant Commissioner Gilbert Kelland of Scotland Yard, when presenting the figures, said: "Coloured persons are disproportionately involved in this type of crime..." To most of us, that will sound rather an understatement; but we must remember that in these post-

unawares. Already Willie Whitelaw is fighting a desperate rear-guard action in a forlorn attempt to absolve himself publicly from any responsibility for what is

RONALD RICKCORD reports

happening on our streets. Following the furore caused by the disclosure of the crime figures, he even went so far as to tell one newspaper that "There will be no soft pedalling on crime. There has never been any and there never will be any." Tell that to the marines! Ever since the abolition of corporal and capital punishment there has been an inexorable drift towards being lenient with criminals, and this tendency has been particularly the case where black criminals are concerned.


Mr. Whitelaw also featured prominently in a disgusting sequel to Scotland Yard's disclosure. Asked by a TV reporter what reassurance he could give to the little old ladies of Brixton who had been mugged, he replied



KELLAND
Said Blacks are disproportionately involved.

demand is not surprising because he knows, as we do, that figures emanating from that source will be fudged, as they were in the recently-published Home Office 'Study' entitled *Racial Attacks*. This proposed silencing of Scotland Yard and other police forces must be strenuously opposed by all Nationalists.

Let there be no more shilly-shallying about crimes committed by 'ethnic minorities'. The stacked juries and soft judges and magistrates must go and the rule of law must be restored forthwith. Those of whatever race or creed who commit violent crimes must be punished with the utmost severity. They must be given a dose of their own medicine. And if they are racial aliens they should immediately thereafter be deported to the lands where they or their forebears originated.



Nan, 87 (on left) and Winifred, 62 (above) both live in South London. They dare not go out of their homes day or night after having been terrorised by Black muggers. Willie Whitelaw, however, thinks that they have nothing to fear!

Scarman days it takes a lot of courage for any senior police officer to say anything that might offend the vocal multiracial lobby.

HORRIFYING FIGURES

The horrifying figures presented by Scotland Yard seem to have caught the Establishment

in his usual unctuous manner that they need have no fear because there are now more policemen on the streets of London than at any time in its history. That is small comfort for the little old ladies who are unfortunate enough to live in places like Brixton. However many policemen there may be on the streets, they are quite ineffectual if, as at present, they are instructed to handle Blacks with kid gloves. And in any case, is there any purpose in policemen waging war on black thugs when the courts, by means of stacked juries, declare them not guilty, or, having found them guilty, deal with them leniently simply because they are black?

CONTROVERSY

Scotland Yard deserves our thanks for having had the temerity to publish these figures knowing the controversy that they would create. They have performed a public service because they have succeeded in waking up some people to the reality of what is happening in our country. Predictably, however, there are signs that the multiracialists are mounting a campaign to gag Scotland Yard. Already Mr. Alex Lyon MP has castigated the police for issuing the figures and has demanded that in future such figures should only be issued by the Home Office (i.e. Mr. Whitelaw). His

for the stabbing other than someone getting impatient about the traffic jams after the accident."

LONDON police officers have been warned not to raid premises that are known to be occupied by Blacks or other ethnic minorities without first getting permission from a higher authority. Premises listed as "sensitive" include those occupied by black pressure groups, their leaders' houses, community centres, clubs, and amusement arcades frequented by Blacks.

MR. ALF DUBS, the MP for Battersea South, has said that he hopes procedures enabling Indians to join their relatives in Britain will be speeded up.

NORWAY, which voted not to join the EEC in 1975, has banned all EEC fishing vessels from entering the area within 200 miles of its coastline in order to conserve its fish stocks.

THE Ministry of Defence has announced that homosexuals discovered in the Armed Forces will no longer be automatically court-martialled as they have been in the past. The MOD's change of attitude has come as a result of suggestions made by the Campaign for Homosexual Equality.

the Liberals because they regard Tory policies to be too 'racialist'.

THE Arts Council, which spends £335,000 a year on ethnic arts, has announced that it intends to increase



the aid given to ethnic artists. Meanwhile the GLC has announced that it is likely to provide "something like" £300,000 aid during the next financial year to encourage ethnic minority arts in London.

CONFLICT between Sunni and Wahabbi Moslems living in Burton-on-Trent has led to fears of violence being expressed by leaders of the Asian community living in the area. Mr. Muhammed Zafar Mahmood says that he is opposed to Wahabbi Moslems being allowed into his area.

GREENLAND'S jubilant anti-Marketeters have been celebrating the country's decision to quit the Common Market following a referendum in which 52 per-cent of the population voted for withdrawal.

TWENTY-FOUR members of the Glebe Ward Conservative Association in Southall have defected to

SEVENTEEN-year-old Kevin Timmons was stabbed to death in Harlesden by a black man after going to the aid of a girl who had been knocked down by a car. A Scotland Yard spokesman said that the police are apologetic to question two black men about the killing. He added: "We can think of no reason

YOUR LETTERS

Sir,—In my letter printed in your March issue you omitted the following paragraph, which was essential to the point which I was trying to make:—

"On February 12th, 1981, two months before the Brixton riots, there were only 14,966 West Indians of both sexes and all ages registered as unemployed in the whole of the big South-East region which covers eleven home counties, including Greater London. On the same date there were only 26,962 West Indians of both sexes and all ages registered as unemployed in the whole of Great Britain. These figures were published by the Department of Employment."

I feel that the point I made in the omitted paragraph is one of great importance and many of your readers may be unaware of these official statistics. I will therefore be most grateful if you could find space to squeeze this letter into your next issue.

DENNIS RITTER
London SE22.

(Editor's Note: We apologise for the omission and fully recognise the importance of these figures given to us by Mr. Ritter.)

Sir,—I was disturbed to read about New Frontier paper sellers being viciously attacked by so-called 'fellow Nationalists'. I hold nothing but contempt for this stupid and deliberate action carried out by these degenerate morons. As for Mark Spong, he should be severely reprimanded or even dismissed! But knowing how corrupt the NF has become, he will probably remain unpunished! Why doesn't the old NF go the whole way and make an alliance with the SWP? They are certainly of more assistance to them than they are to British Nationalism.

JAMES PARKES JNR.
Warley, West Midlands

Sir,—As a native of Kent (and proud of it) who has followed the fortunes of Kent cricket ever since I was a nipper, I am delighted that those fine Kent and England cricketers, Derek Underwood, Alan Knott and Bob Woolmer have joined the team now in South Africa. Let there be no attempt by the KCCC to ban them from playing for the county or I will resign my membership.

MAN OF KENT
Maidstone, Kent

A case for the Board?

A reader has informed us that the following advertisement was recently displayed in the Nantwich Job Centre:—

JOB: Trainee Fire Eater
DISTRICT: Nationwide
BUSINESS: Circus
SALARY: £30 at age 17
HOURS: As required
REMARKS: Active athletic coloured gentleman (17–25 yrs) required to work in circus. Will be trained as a fire eater, fakir, and be part of an aerial act, so must be happy at heights. Will tour the country for 9 months each year.

WHEN RACE DOESN'T MATTER

STEPHANA, in the picture on the right, is 33 and, as the picture shows, a good-looking Anglo-Saxon type — the type who might wish, you would think, to marry a man of her own kin and raise children cast in her own image. But Stephana is a liberal. Race does not matter to her. She is married to Hollywood actor Lionel Stander, 72, pictured with her. Stander is descended from Spanish Jews. With Stephana and Lionel is their daughter Jennifer. Which parent do you think she looks like?



...AND THEY KEEP COMING

This photograph which recently appeared in *The Sunday Telegraph* shows 96-year-old Le Thi Luc surrounded by some of the 130 members of her family who live at Sopley Camp in Hampshire. These Vietnamese "boat people" hope to start fishing in Scotland for a livelihood. Doubtless the British taxpayer will be expected to provide them with the financial aid required to start their business. Meanwhile many Scottish fishermen are out of work.



Quote

"It had better be said that criminality (often West Indian) is growing on the streets in many parts of the country. The establishment gives every sign of being scared to rule."

— Editorial in *The Daily Telegraph*

THE 23rd of April is St. George's Day. We hope that all our readers will be able to spend a most enjoyable day in the true spirit of the occasion.

RONALD BELL

WE were sorry to learn of the death of Sir Ronald Bell, QC, MP. Although we did not share Sir Ronald's belief that great evils like immigration and Britain's membership of the EEC can be removed by relying on the Conservative Party to awaken from its present torpor, we recognise that he was one of the very few MPs who spoke the truth without fear or favour. He will therefore be missed. We extend our sympathy to his widow and family.

Secretary, Lord Carrington, as a "duplicitous bastard" was apt. What better term could there be to describe the architect of the infamous Lancaster House Agreement which handed Rhodesia on a plate to a bloodthirsty Marxist guerrilla like Robert Mugabe?

TOPSY-TURVY WORLD

One of the most hilarious actions of the present Government — that is, if the consequences were not potentially so disastrous — is the appointment of the asinine Sir George Samuel Knatchbull Young, the sixth baronet, as Minister "with special responsibility for race relations in inner cities." Clearly, this appointment is a sop aimed at persuading Blacks not to riot this summer. As *The Daily Telegraph* so aptly put it in one of its recent leaders, Sir George "has found



SIR GEORGE YOUNG
Thrives on fantasy

the office to fit the man." This description is particularly apposite because Sir George apparently lives in a topsy-turvy world and thrives on fantasy. His favourite TV programmes are 'The Goodies', 'Fawlty Towers' and 'Not the Nine O'Clock News.' Come to think of it, Sir George resembles a character out of 'The Goodies' himself. With such credentials this man should go far. Alas, however, besides Blacks, many of our more elderly compatriots have to live in the inner cities. There is no fantasy world: more like a nightmare.

WPB!

New Frontier's editor, Ronald Rickcord, was surprised to learn that he had been named in the Scarman Report as one of those people who had submitted written evidence to Lord Scarman. There's immortality for you! He wonders whether he was the only White British racist whose name appeared in the infamous document. He notes, however, that the Report admits that 450 submissions were received from members of the public who were not named, and he thinks that the vast majority of them were probably protesting Whites. Mr. Rickcord suspects that by some quirk of fate his own submission, unlike the other 450, accidentally found its way into an in-tray instead of the waste-paper basket!

SEX SHOPS

MPs recently debated the desirability of licensing 'sex shops'.

*Sex shops are most essential
In modern times like these,
And since they have potential
Give us a licence please!*

*We sell erotic baubles,
And always try to please;
We cater for the foibles
Of over-sexed MPs.*

*It's surely no indictment
To wipe off our arrears
By giving some excitement
To pimps, voyeurs and queers?*

*So give your approbation
And let us have your trust;
We'll benefit the Nation
By profiting from lust.*

LAW AND ORDER COLLAPSING

(Contd. from front page)

trous failure of the multi-racial experiment in this country? Has he any plans for repatriation? We all know the answers to these questions. Willie is going to do nothing. He has no such plans.

So what was Willie's speech all about? It was just a puff of hot air, a political con-trick to lull his party to sleep and lull the country to sleep. It makes no difference whatever to the fact that law and order are collapsing in Britain. The collapse is going to continue, whatever speeches Willie may make. The collapse is his responsibility — but not his alone. He is merely the most visible representative of a governing class that has lost the will to govern. He must go! They must all go!

TALKING POINTS

by FRONTIERSMAN

HUMBUG HIT FOR SIX

The hypocrisy and cant that has been occasioned by the privately-arranged cricket tour of South Africa is unbelievable. If the cricketers concerned have unwittingly stirred up a hornet's nest and jeopardised their own careers, they have also hit the prevailing humbug for six in addition to striking a decisive blow for individual freedom. The unexpectedness of their action has predictably provoked Mr. Hain and his associates into a frenzy of carpet-chewing fury. But quite the most hypocritical condemnation of the cricketers came from the Shadow Secretary of the Environment, Gerald "Creep" Kaufman. This obnoxious personage prattled on at length in the Commons about such matters as "blood-covered krugerrands" and the absurd Gleneagles Agreement. It is significant that Mr. Kaufman doesn't wax half so indignantly about the visit by British sportsmen to Israel, whose citizens have perpetrated unspeakable atrocities on the indigenous Arabs to whom that land rightly belongs. Nor does he condemn those who participate in sport in Russia, whose country recently overran Afghanistan with the utmost brutality. I hope that the tour of South Africa will be a resounding success.

NOTT OUT!

Last month I drew attention to the unsuccessful attempt made by the First Sea Lord to persuade Defence Secretary John Nott to change his mind about selling the Navy's new aircraft carrier, *Invincible*, to the Australian Government.

Subsequently details of the sale became headline news in the national press, much to the vexation of Mr. Nott; so it doesn't surprise me to learn that he has decided to gag Service Chiefs in order to prevent them making known the extent to which he is scuttling our Armed Forces, and in particular our Navy. To prevent the Chiefs from performing their duty of keeping Parliament informed of the state of our defences, Mr. Nott recently ordered the Chief of Defence, Admiral of the Fleet Sir Terence Lewin, and the Chief of the Air Staff, Air Chief Marshal Sir Michael Beetham, not to speak to a meeting of the Tory back-bench defence committee. It seems utterly incredible to me that men of the calibre and importance of Sir Terence and Sir Michael should be debarred from discussing defence matters with MPs of Mr. Nott's own party. What is he afraid that the Chiefs may say? What has he to hide? Mr. Nott is fast turning out to be the most disastrous Defence Secretary that this country has ever had, even worse than Labour's Fred "Sleepy" Mulley — and that's saying something! Even Admiral of the Fleet Lord Hill-Norton, a former Chief of the Defence Staff who is not noted for outspokenness, recently felt compelled to say that "Mr. Nott does not understand defence and shows no apparent inclination to learn." For the sake of our national security Mr. Nott must go — now!

APT DESCRIPTION

Although I've little sympathy for that soldier-turned-politician, General Alexander Haig, now American Secretary of State, his description of Britain's Foreign



ORDERED TO
KEEP QUIET
Admiral of the
Fleet Sir Terence
Lewin (left) and
Air Chief Marshal
Sir Michael
Beetham (right).



COMMON MARKET COMMENT

ELECTING EURO-MPs

NEW FRONTIER'S staff was recently astonished to receive a press release issued by the Information Office of the European Parliament. It concerned information about proposed changes in the method of electing Euro-MPs, which the Parliament hopes to introduce in time for the Euro-elections due to be held in 1984. We were indeed surprised to learn that our little paper is included on the Office's circulation list, if only because it is most unlikely that any newspaper has been more consistently critical of the EEC and all that it stands for. Our inclusion on the list causes us to wonder whether we have already acquired respectability. Are we in danger of becoming a pillar of the Establishment?

Whatever the reason for our inclusion on the list, we were unable to raise any enthusiasm for the proposed changes. In essence, they aim to force Britain to abandon our "first past the post" method of electing Euro-MPs in favour of a system of proportional representation so as to bring us into line with the rest of the Community. As our readers are well aware, we are totally opposed to British membership of the EEC or representation in its Parliament; so it is quite immaterial to us what methods of electing Euro-MPs are used. In any case, the European Parliament, as defined by Article 144 of the Treaty of Rome, has extremely limited powers; it is in reality little more than an ineffectual talking shop.

However, one thing must be said about the proposals. If PR is introduced for the purpose of electing Euro-MPs, the case for introducing that system for our own parliamentary elections will be enormously

strengthened. Would that be a good thing? So-called parliamentary democracy is not a system in which we are able to put very much faith, but in our opinion the adoption of PR would probably make matters even worse. It would simply take the government of our country out of the hands of the two main parties which can seldom agree on any single important issue and place it into the hands of a multiplicity of small, squabbling factions, each pursuing its own particular ends regardless of the interests of the British people. In short, Parliament would become even more of a circus than it already is.

ENOCH'S GAFFE

ENOCH POWELL'S suggestion that the electorate should vote Labour at the next general election in order to ensure Britain's withdrawal from the EEC is not very convincing. After all, he gave the same advice in 1974, but all the electors got for taking that advice was five years of socialism plus a phoney referendum, the outcome of which was a foregone conclusion once the devious Harold Wilson had announced that "the terms are right" and that we should therefore vote "Yes".

Mr. Powell's advice is even less convincing when Mr. Foot, for all his anti-Market posturing, is already making suggestions that Labour's latest pledge to take Britain out of the Market may not be irrevocable. Mr. Foot is also on record as having recently said that Labour may seek to persuade the Continentals to make the EEC less of a "rich man's club." Anyone who believes that Mr. Foot and his cronies have any serious intention of taking us out of the Market will believe anything.

PARTIES TO UNITE

John Tyndall to head new, stronger movement

A GREAT AND HISTORIC VICTORY in the cause of nationalist unity was won in London on the 27th March, when at a conference attended by the representatives of several nationalist organisations it was agreed to merge forces and launch a new united political party, to be named THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY.

The British National Party will be formally instituted at a press conference in London in mid-April. It will incorporate the whole of the New National Front, substantial sections of British Movement and the British Democratic Party and some sections of the old National Front and Nationalist Party.

It had been hoped by the Committee promoting the unity project that the entire old NF (except for its queers) and British Movement would participate in the scheme,

and invitations were sent to its leaders to this effect. To everyone's great disappointment and regret, however, the leaders of both organisations failed to reply to the invitations and furthermore ordered their members to boycott the whole unity scheme. It is nevertheless the hope of those forming the British National Party that the rank-and-file membership of both organisations will in time give their support, with or without the blessing of their leaders. The door has been left open to them to do so, and there is still time for them to join as founder-members if they hurry up and decide soon.

Apart from the decision over the new party name, the three most important matters to be negotiated at the conference were the policies of the party, the constitution of the party and the leadership of the party. Policies

proved to be little problem. The conference accepted a formula proposed by John Tyndall that policies be a matter left to the discretion of the party leadership in accordance with the needs of the changing political situation and that the party should simply declare itself to be based on 5 basic principles, these being National Sovereignty, Racial Integrity, Economic Nationalism, opposition to Marxism and the priority of strong and independent National Defence.

The conference then approved that the Constitution of the New National Front serve as the basis for the Constitution of the new party, the basic features of this being that the party leader is elected for a 1 year term, then empowered to take all decisions affecting the running of the party, provided that these were consistent

with the 5 basic principles and that the leader's liability to re-election every year remains.

Finally, the conference unanimously approved NNF leader John Tyndall as the leader of the new party.

YOUNG NATIONALIST

Read *Young Nationalist*, the paper for nationalist youth. Send 20p for sample copy to: 16 Vale Lodge, Perry Vale, London S.E.23.

Obituary LES STONE

The sudden death of Les Stone, the stalwart Worthing nationalist, has left an empty void in the midst of fellow nationalists in the Worthing and West Sussex area. Les, who was Worthing Organiser for the National Front and later for the New National Front, was a big man; in body, in spirit and in courage, and with a wonderfully infectious sense of humour that shone brightly even in difficult and diverse times.

Les was one of the few who met the very first boatloads of immigrants to arrive in this country and opposed them

in no uncertain manner, and he continued to voice his opinions forthrightly right up to his death.

A fearless, energetic man who found no task too big, no argument too strong in his efforts to broadcast the policies of our movement, he had an almost fanatical belief in our cause, for, he always said, ours is the only way to lead our beloved country back to true freedom and self-respect.

It has been a privilege to have had such a tower of strength as Les among us, and we hope that his example and encouragement have left us better people.

While there are only White angels, maybe dear Les will have peace in his rest.

Tony Arnold

Tapes for your library

NNF Recordings offer the following two cassettes dealing with topics of interest to nationalists:

VOICES OF NATIONALISM

Side 1: Extracts of speeches from the New National Front rally in London on September 5th 1981 on the theme 'Whites unite or go under!' Speakers include Len Bearsford Walker, Kenneth McKilliam, Ray Hill (guest speaker), Derek Merry and NNF Chairman John Tyndall. A substantial part of John Tyndall's closing speech is recorded.

Side 2: Talk by John Tyndall on the theme 'The coming British revolution'. In this talk Mr. Tyndall exposes the fraudulence of the system known as 'democracy' and outlines the vital political changes needed in Britain to bring about national recovery.

TYNDALL SPEAKS

Side 1: Talk by John Tyndall on the theme 'Our Anglo-Saxon heritage'. In this talk Mr. Tyndall speaks of the world-wide dispersion of the peoples of British stock and the necessity for them to unite to guarantee their survival against the threat of the non-White races.

Side 2: Talk by John Tyndall on the theme 'Britain's economic crisis'. In this talk Mr. Tyndall attacks the policies that have made 3 million people in Britain unemployed and outlines the nationalist programme for the achievement of full employment.

These are both 90-minute cassettes and cost £3 each with 15p each added to cover postage. They can be ordered from NNF Recordings, Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

New Frontier, though it believes in racial differences, opposes race-hatred. All those who oppose multi-racialism should attack the politicians who promote it, not the immigrants, who are merely its victims.

Read

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of Nationalist policies read *Spearhead*, a 20 page monthly magazine which supports the New National Front. Price 35p. Annual subscription £6.15. 47p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

BUY NEW FRONTIER IN BULK

New Frontier retails at 12p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers please order only in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £1.20 + 32p post
25 copies £2.70 + 94p post
50 copies £4.80 + £1.57 post
100 copies £8.40 + £1.90 post
150 copies £11.70 + £2.10 post
200 copies £14.40 + £2.25 post
300 copies £19.80 + £2.55 post
400 copies £24.00 + £2.80 post
500 copies £29.40) Bulk rates
1,000 copies £55.20) by roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *New Frontier*, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *New Frontier* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

DESPERATE to retain their dwindling membership, the leaders of the old National Front have fallen back on the weapons of lies, leftism and intimidation.

Instructive in these regards is Mr. Nick Griffin's contribution to a recent issue of *Nationalism Today*. Entitled 'All good nationalists', this curious effusion directed against the idea of a reunified nationalist movement in Britain is illustrated by a photograph showing a woman in a slum and captioned: 'Urban squalor: good nationalists are not fighting for this.' What is evidently implied here is that nationalists outside the old NF approve of the conditions in which the lady is living.

Referring to the current divisions in the nationalist movement and the men promoting the unity drive, Mr. Griffin proceeds as follows:—

"There is John Tyndall, the captain who fled to the lifeboat when he thought that his ship was about to sink under a sea of debts incurred under his leadership."

Anyone who is aware of the circumstances under which Mr. Tyndall resigned the NF Chairmanship in 1980 will know that this is an abominable lie. Mr. Tyndall resigned his position because the Directorate would not permit him to put a resolution before the members of the party requesting increased leadership powers (which, as Mr. Tyndall himself has made clear many times, meant also increased responsibilities). The most immediate and important reason why Mr. Tyndall sought these powers was that he wanted to purge the party of the homosexual clique which had brought upon it public scandal and political disgrace — after the Directorate had failed in its duty in that regard. Had these powers been granted, as undoubtedly they should have been, Mr. Tyndall would have continued in his position and that would have involved continuing to bear responsibility for the party's debts.

Next Mr. Griffin says:—
"Now to his (Mr. Tyndall's) dismay he finds that his own little vessel (the New NF) has been hijacked by a masonic Captain Hook and has more leaks than a kitchen sieve! His former command is doing much better without him."

NF DECLINED

It is certainly news to us that the old NF is doing much better since Mr. Tyndall resigned the leadership! The fact is that its membership has rapidly declined, as can be seen by the decreasing figures of those voting in Directorate elections every year. Its turn-out on marches and other activities is but a fraction of the size that it was before 1980 and there is a marked deterioration in the quality of many of those on view on these activities. Its publications come out with much less frequency than those of the New NF. In its one effort in a parliamentary election (Croydon North West) it obtained only 1.24 per cent of the poll — far lower than the votes obtained in the Tyndall era. It is so broke that it has to demand a yearly levy off its branches and groups — something that it never had to do in the Tyndall era.

As for the reference to "a masonic

Captain Hook", this is a snide and contemptible dig at Mr. Charles Parker, one of Mr. Tyndall's leading colleagues, who lost an arm in the service of his country in World War II — some time before Mr. Griffin was born!

Mr. Griffin tries hard in his article to discredit the present movement towards nationalist unity by implying that it involves the New National Front in a mutual embrace with the conspirators who set up the failed 'Con-Movement' and the equally failed 'British Democratic Party'. In fact there has been no negotiation between the national leaderships of either of these factions and the New NF. What we in the New NF do believe is that many good rank-and-file nationalists supported these factions for commendable reasons of disgust with the old NF Directorate and because in the first instance they were misinformed and misled by their leaders. To win these nationalists back into a unified nationalist movement is surely a commendable aim, and it is to the eternal discredit of the old NF Directorate that it has made no effort to pursue that aim.

Mr. Griffin tries very hard to denigrate the New NF and label it as a 'failure'. In fact, comparative to what it started with it has been an outstanding success. A sound and viable national organisation has been built which is financially solvent and does not have to milk its branches for 'levies'. It is able to sustain two publications of high quality that appear regularly without fail every month, together with a new publication that it has now launched to serve as the organ of its youth section. It possesses tried and proven national leadership and has built a solid cadre of reliable local leadership. The decision-making process within the New NF is clear cut and is based at every level upon personal responsibility; no failure is able to hide his shortcomings behind committees but must be accountable to the members for his results, or lack of them. While the New NF is under no illusions that it can achieve 'respectability' of the conventional political kind, every leading officer in the party is of unblemished personal character who cannot be smeared, nor the party scandalised, as a result of his private life or deeds.

While the New NF is not yet large, it has been getting steadily larger while the old NF has been getting steadily smaller. There is a constant traffic of converts from the latter to the former while there is no significant traffic in the opposite direction. In contrast to the old NF, the New NF has had only a very tiny drop-out of members as a result of non-renewal of subscriptions. The vast bulk

CLAPTRAP FROM PAWSONS ROAD

of those who have joined have stayed.

We in the New NF are not so arrogant as to claim, however, as the old NF does, that we have a monopoly of all the good nationalists in this country. This is why we have lent our support in the strongest possible way to the recent moves to obtain a greater unification of nationalist groups. This unification is going to come, whether Mr. Griffin and his friends want it or not. He may think that "These splits are the best thing that ever happened to the National Front." (His words.) We say that the state of the NF today is the clearest proof that they have been a disaster.

TROTSKYISM

Let me end by a word about the present 'ideology' of the old NF which Mr. Griffin is so anxious to promote. What is it but the affectation of a Trotskyism that is propagated with

much more finesse through the pages of *Socialist Worker*? Beyond providing juvenile inadequacies of middle class origin with a 'justification' for yapping at the heels of stronger and better men than themselves, it serves only to inspire a sectarian spirit guaranteed to keep British nationalists in a permanent state of division and conflict.

That conflict is what Mr. Griffin relishes is quite clearly indicated in his closing words, when he says: "There can be no 'unity' with you... Don't get in our way!" I suppose this clumsy attempt at a threat is intended to underline the vicious attack recently made by his supporters on a New NF paper sales team in South London. I don't know whether it is meant to frighten us or frighten decent rank-and-file NF members who may be thinking of joining us. I can only say that if it is the former Mr. Griffin is going to be sadly disappointed.

John Morse

WHAT WE STAND FOR

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs.
- A government that will put British interests first.
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market.
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth.
- Protection of British industry by the exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain.
- A sane financial system which will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The revival of British farming.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work.
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British support of the Whites in South Africa.
- An end to financial aid to black dictatorships.
- The strengthening of Britain's defences.
- Tougher treatment of criminals.
- The banning of the IRA, the death penalty for terrorists and firm support of the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland.
- Repeal of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening up of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media.
- The elimination of Communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom.

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 115, Hove, East Sussex BN3 3SB
Tick appropriate box

Please send me more information for which I enclose 20p. ☐

I wish to become a Full Member and enclose £6.00 (Half-rate for students and OAPs) ☐

I wish to become an Associate Member and enclose £3.00 (No half-rate) ☐

Name

Address

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *New Frontier* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £3.40 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles. For subscribers overseas the rate is £4.00 for 12 issues (surface mail). Please make cheques/POs payable to *New Frontier* and send to *New Frontier*, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

An additional charge of £1.00 is required on all foreign cheques.

Name

Address

OVERSEAS SUBSCRIBERS WELCOMED